Tomohide Kinuhata & John Whitman (Kyoto & Cornell)

The Genesis of Indefinite Pronouns in Japanese and Korean

Korean (1a) and Japanese (1b) exemplify a crosslinguistically well-attested pattern where indefinite prenouns are composed of an interrogative word and an interrogative particle.

```
a. Mina-ka mues-in-ka-lul sassta. b. Mina-ga nani-ka-o katta.

(1) Mina-NOM what-be-Q-ACC bought. Mina-NOM what-Q-ACC bought. 'Mina bought something' 'Mina bought something'
```

In this paper we present a new account of the diachronic development for such pronouns, an account better supported by the historical facts than treatments in the typological literature (Haspelmath 1997) and in previous studies focused on Japanese.

Haspelmath (1997) argues that the grammaticalization of indefinites results from reduction of a concessive conditional clause of the basic form [Mina bought something, whatever it, may be] (p. 136). Although his analysis is close to the view we adopt in this paper, the precise source he posits does not match the Japanese and Korean facts, because in these languages concessive conditionals are not realized by 'interrogative.word + interrogative.particle' but by 'interrogative.word + scalar.focus.particle' (e.g. Korean -tunci 'any at all', Japanese mo 'even').

On the other hand, Japanese historical grammarians (e.g. Ogawa 1976-77, Yamaguchi 1990, Watanabe 2002) have claimed that indefinite pronouns such as nanika in (1b) originate from the kakarimusuhi, focus interrogative pattern in (2).

```
(2) Yo-no fito-goto-ni nani-wo kamo kofi-to-fa ifu world-GEN person-respectively-DAT what-ACC Q love-Quote-TOP say 'What does each person consider love?' Ise Monogatari 10th C. However, this analysis fails to account both for the Korean data, and for an additional Japanese indefinite pronoun pattern consisting of 'interrogative.word + yara' as in (3), because both of these patterns are completely independent from kakarimusnbi.
```

(3) nani-yara-ga mune-ni-fa ari-te kuti-fe de-zu.

what-yara-NOM heart-DAT-TOP exist-CONJ mouth-DIR come.up-NEG.

'I have something to say, but I cannot tell.'

Udukimomizi 170

We propose that indefinite pronouns originate from matrix-adjoined wh-questions (see Lee 1997, Takamiya 2001, Kinuhata et al. to appear for the same source for similar different constructions).

```
(4) a. THAYTUKTHYEN-i na-m in ka pwuthye-y SYEYKAN-ay

Buddha heaven-NOM emerge-NML is Q Buddha-GEN world-in

na-sya-m in ka i khun KWANGMYENG-i ZIPPPANG-ay ta

emerge-HON-NML is Q this big light-NOM 10.direction-in all

pichwisy-as-ta.

shine-HON-PAST-DEC

'Was it the Buddha-heaven emerging, was it the Buddha emerging into the world, this

great light shone in all the ten directions.' Pephwakyeng enhay 3.106b 1463

b. Sono omofi-no tumori-ni ka Yokobue-fa ... hodonau sisita.

that thought-GEN accumulate-Copula Q Yokobue-TOP ... soon died.
```

'Did she worry a lot, Yokobue died right away.' Amakusaban Heike 1592

The adjoined question pattern is abundantly attested in Korean and Japanese through their history.

Parallel patterns occur with endings such as yara(mu) [I guess] in Japanese, the source of the modern

Nov 14 • 10:15-10:45

particle yara.

(5) Nan-to omofare-saburafi-keru yaramu, kauto-mo ofoscrare-saburafa-zu.
what-QUO think-POL-Past vara this-also say.HON-POL-NEG

'What did he think, he said nothing to me.' Kakuichibon Heike 14th C.

The adjoined question analysis gives a single account for the genesis of indefinite pronouns of Korean and Japanese. It also does not suffer from an additional defect of the kakarimusubi account: the fact that there is an approximately 100 year gap, corresponding roughly to the 17th century, between the disappearance of Japanese kakarimusubi and the energence of indefinite pronouns with ka.

We explain the change in structure and the meaning in detail. In examples such as (1) and (5), the source structure consists of a wh-question marked with ka, in-ka or yara adjoined to a matrix declarative clause. The interrogative/indefinite ambiguity appears in examples like the following involving an adjoined phrase in ka/in-ka

- (6) a. Eucey-puthe(-)in(-)ka kaul-i salacy-e pelin nukkim-i tu-n-ta.

 when-from(-)is(-)Q fall-NOM disappear end-up feeling-NOM get-PRES-DEC
 - i. 'From when was it, I got the feeling that fall had disappeared.
 - ii. 'From some point I got the feeling that fall had disappeared.'
 - b. ltu-kara ka, kirui-wo siti-ni ma-o watasi when-from Q clothes-ACC pawn-to interval-ACC crossing
 - i. 'From when was it, I have been making ends meet pawning clothes.'
- ii. From some point, I have been making ends meet pawning clothes.' Tennoamijima 1720 The reanalysis eliminates the interrogative interpretation of the adjoined material corresponding to (i). In semantic terms, the interpretation of the adjunct changes from a wh question, that is, the set of propositions obtained by substituting for the interrogative pronoun: $\lambda p[\exists x[p=1]] = 1$ have made ends meet from x[] (Hamblin 1973), to an existential indefinite, associated with the interpretation $\exists x[1]$ have made ends meet from x[]. As we see in (6) for both languages, when ka attaches to an adjoined phrase, the interrogative interpretation of wh ka/in.ka is still available. But once the interrogative word is fully reanalyzed as an indefinite, it may occur in a clause-internal DP position as in the following examples.
- (7) a. Nwuku(-)n(-)ka-ka mun-ul twutuly-ess-ta.
 somcone-NOM door-ACC knock.on-PAST-DEC
 'Somcone knocked on the door.'
 - b. Inuctu nani-ka syootisi-te deteiku Inuctu something understand-CONJ go.out Inuctu understand something and go out.³

Teikenofana 1807

References Hamblin, C. L. 1973. Questions in Montague English. Foundation of Language 10/
Kinuhata, T. et al. to appear. Genesis of 'Exemplification' in Japanese. Japanese Korean Linguistics
16/ Lee, C. 1997. Negative Polarity and Free Choice. Proceedings of the 11th Amsterdam Colloquium
on Logic, Language and Information./ Ogawa, K. 1976-77. Where Diachronic and Synchronic Rules
Meet. Papers in Japanese Linguistics 5./ Takamiya, Y. 2004. Yara(u) niyoru Kansetugimonbun no
Seiritu. Mie Daigaku Nihongo Nihonbungaku 15/ Yamaguchi, G. 1991. Nihongo Gimon Hyougen
Tuusi Meiji shoin./ Watanabe, A. 2002. Loss of Overt Wh-Movement in Old Japanese. Syntactic
Effects of Morphological Change. Oxford./